| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/025,958 | GILKERSON ET AL. |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | George R. Evanisko | 3762 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | |
| (1) George R. Evanisko. | (3) | |
| (2) Marvin Beekman. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 12 December 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: provisional double patenting rejection detailed in the last office action | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A terminal disclaimer will be filed to overcome the provisional double patenting rejections | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Application No.

Applicant(s)